

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,245	09/18/2003	Masahiko Takenaka	14470.0009US01	6966	
7590 09/14/2006			EXAMINER		
•	nann, Mueller & Larson	n, P.C.	AVERY, BI	RIDGET D	
	P.O. Box 2902-0902 Minneapolis, MN 55402  ART UNIT PAPER NUME 3618		PAPER NUMBER		
1			3618		
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/665,245	TAKENAKA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Bridget Avery	3618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>ly 2006</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-15</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)□	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  Output  Description:	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) Notice 3) Information	et(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 3, filed July 27, 2006, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1 and 3-15 under 35 USC 103 in view of Kawano ('799), Hahm ('214), Shinozaki ('255) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Omori (US Patent 4,561,519) in view of Bennett et al. (US Patent 4,802,684).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 3-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Omori (US Patent 4,561,519) in view of Bennett et al. (US Patent 4,802,684).

Omori teaches a structure similar to applicant's including:

- A longitudinal V-type engine (12) mounted to a vehicle body frame (10)
- The frame is a diamond type including a head pipe (24), right and left upper frames (26) extended backward and downward from the head pipe

Application/Control Number: 10/665,245

Art Unit: 3618

(24), right and left down frames (30) extended downward from the head pipe (24) and coupled to a front of a crankcase of the engine (12).

Page 3

- The engine (12) including a front cylinder and a rear cylinder
- The banking angle between the front cylinder and the rear cylinder is equal to or greater than 90 degrees, as shown in Figure 2
- The engine (12) is arranged with the bisector of the banking angle extending through the head pipe of the body frame (10)
- The front cylinder extends in front of a pair of right and left down frames
   (30)
- The rear cylinder between the right and left upper frames (26)
- A fuel tank (34)
- An air intake system (note the unnumbered radiator positioned in front of the engine and the teaching of positioning an air cleaner in column 1, lines43-45
- The engine (12) adds strength to the body frame (10)
- A box structure as clearly shown in Figure 2 positioned above and between the engine cylinders provides a cover
- The teaching of an air intake system is inherent because the positioning of air cleaners in the space containing the box structure (between the fuel tank and the engine cylinders) is conventional. Also note the teaching of an air cleaner in column 1, lines 42-45

Omori lacks the teaching of low floors forming step floors for putting a rider's feet on.

Bennett et al. teaches low floors forming step floors/pads (42). Re claim 8, see floor-supporting frames (40) in Figure 4

Based on the teachings of Bennett et al., it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add step floors/pads to a mid section of the vertical portion of down frames (30) in Omori to enable the operator to ride with his or her legs in a relaxed, raised position during extended periods of travel. The modification would cause the engine to be mounted below the low floors/pads. Re claim 12, the tubular frames have an opening there between and are capable of supporting the right and left floors/pads.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3618

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bridget Avery at telephone number 571-272-6691.

September 12, 2006

CHRISTOPHER P. ELLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600